

## The hamiltonian of the tetrahedral spin-1/2 model

This text is part of section II of Ref.[1].

The hamiltonian of the tetrahedral spin-1/2 model (see Fig. 1 of Ref.[1]) is

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_t = & \sum_{i=1}^N \left\{ J_0(\sigma_i, \tau_i)_1 + J[(\sigma_i, \sigma_{i+1})_\Delta \right. \\
 & + (\sigma_i, \tau_{i+1})_\Delta + (\tau_i, \sigma_{i+1})_\Delta + (\tau_i, \tau_{i+1})_\Delta] \\
 & \left. - h(\sigma_i^z \otimes \mathbf{1}_\tau + \mathbf{1}_\sigma \otimes \tau_i^z) \right\}. \tag{1}
 \end{aligned}$$

Along the  $\rho$ -line of the dumb-bell we have the spin-1/2  $\sigma_i$  whereas along the  $r$ -line we have the distinct spin-1/2  $\tau_i$ . We use the notation:  $(A_l, B_k)_\Delta \equiv A_l^x \otimes B_k^x + A_l^y \otimes B_k^y + \Delta A_l^z \otimes B_k^z$ , with  $A_l \equiv (A_l^x, A_l^y, A_l^z)$  and  $B_k \equiv (B_k^x, B_k^y, B_k^z)$  to introduce the anisotropy in the  $z$ -direction. We impose periodic boundary conditions to the hamiltonian (1).

Hamiltonian (1) is a modified version of model B of Ref. [2] with  $r_1 = \rho_1$  and  $r_2 = \rho_2$ . We introduce an anisotropy in the  $z$ -direction in the crossing interactions as well as in the interaction between first neighbours (see Fig. 1 of Ref.[1]). It is also a special case of the generalized spin ladder proposed by Kolezhuk and Mieske[3] to interpolate some quasi-one-dimensional gapped models. Hamiltonian (1) is the special case:  $J_2 = J_3 = J_4$  of the hamiltonian in Ref.[4] that describes a frustrated spin ladder with diagonal couplings.

Defining the composite spin  $\vec{S}_i$  at each site as

$$\vec{S}_i = \vec{\sigma}_i \otimes \mathbf{1}_\tau + \mathbf{1}_\sigma \otimes \vec{\tau}_i, \tag{2}$$

with  $\mathbf{1}_\sigma$  being the identity in the  $\sigma$ -space and  $\mathbf{1}_\tau$  being the identity in the  $\tau$ -space, it is simple to realize that the tetrahedral spin-1/2 model (1) is mapped into the chain model

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_t = & \sum_{i=1}^N \left\{ -\frac{3}{4} J_0 \mathbf{1}_{4 \times 4} + \frac{J_0}{2} S_i^2 \right. \\
 & \left. + J[S_i^+ S_{i+1}^- + S_i^- S_{i+1}^+ + \Delta S_i^z S_{i+1}^z] - h S_i^z \right\}, \tag{3a}
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $S_i^\pm \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(S_i^x \pm i S_i^y)$  and  $S_i^2 \equiv \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_i$ . The matrices in hamiltonian (3a), written in the basis of the eigenstates of  $S_i^z$  and  $S_i^2$ , are

$$S_i^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_i, \quad S_i^z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_i, \tag{3b}$$

$$S_i^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_i, \quad S_i^- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_i. \tag{3c}$$

The model described by hamiltonian (3a) is also subject to a periodic boundary condition. In matrices (3b) and (3c) we recognize the sectors  $S = 0$  (singlet state) and  $S = 1$  (triplet state) that come from the composite spin (2).

The method developed in Ref. [5] can be directly applied to the hamiltonian (3a) to obtain its thermodynamics in this region of temperature. The advantage of describing the tetrahedral spin-1/2 model in Fig. 1 of Ref.[1] by hamiltonian (3a) is that of recognizing a one-dimensional composite spin model that has already been studied in the literature[2, 6, 7].

## References

- [1] Onofre Rojas, E.V. Corra Silva, S.M. de Souza and M.T. Thomaz, "The high temperature expansion of the tetrahedral spin-1/2 and spin-2 XXZ models", Physical Review B vol. 69 (2004) 134405 (1-8). [link: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.69.134405>].
- [2] H. Niggemann, G. Uimin and J. Zittartz, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **9**, 9031 (1997).
- [3] A.K. Kolezhuk and H.-J. Mikeska, Phys. Rev. **B56**, R11 380 (1997).
- [4] K. Totsuka and H.-J. Mikeska, Phys. Rev. **B66**, 054435 (2002).
- [5] Onofre Rojas, S.M. de Souza and M.T. Thomaz), J. of Math. Phys. **43**, 1390 (2002).
- [6] J. Sólyom and J. Timonen, Phys. Rev. **B34**, 487 (1986).
- [7] J. Sólyom and J. Timonen, Phys. Rev. **B40**, 7150 (1989).